

UNITED STATED ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 7 2016 MAY 10 PM 12: 36 11201 RENNER BOULEVARD LENEXA, KANSAS 66219

#### **EXPEDITED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT (ESA)**

#### **DOCKET NO.**: CAA-07-2016-0015

This ESA is issued to: Frenchman Valley Cooperative At: 100 Douglas Street, Imperial, Nebraska 69033 for violating Section 112(r)(7) of the Clean Air Act.

The United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7 (EPA) and Frenchman Valley Cooperative (Respondent), have agreed to a settlement of this action before filing of a complaint, and thus this action is simultaneously commenced and concluded pursuant to Rules 22.13(b) and 22.18(B)(2) of the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties, Issuance of Compliance or Corrective Action Orders, and the Revocation, Termination or Suspension of Permits (Consolidated Rules), 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b), 22.18(b)(2).

The Complainant, by delegation of the Administrator of the EPA, is the Director of the Air and Waste Management Division. The Respondent is Frenchman Valley Cooperative, 100 Douglas Street, Imperial, Nebraska 69033.

This is an administrative action for the assessment of civil penalties instituted pursuant to Section 113(d) of the Clean Air Act (CAA). Pursuant to Section 113(d) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(d), the Administrator and the Attorney General jointly determined that cases which meet the criteria set forth in EPA's policy entitled "Use of Expedited Settlements in Addressing Violations of the Clean Air Act Chemical Accident Prevention Provision, 40 C.F.R. Part 68," dated January 5, 2004, are appropriate for administrative penalty action.

#### ALLEGED VIOLATIONS

On August 14, 2015, an authorized representative of the EPA conducted a compliance inspection of the Respondent's facility located at 100 Douglas Street, Imperial, Nebraska, to determine compliance with the Risk Management Plan (RMP) regulations promulgated at 40 C.F.R. Part 68 under Section 112(r) of the CAA. The EPA found that the Respondent had violated regulations implementing Section 112(r) of the CAA by failing to comply with the regulations as noted on the enclosed Risk Management Program Inspection Findings (RMP Findings), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

#### **SETTLEMENT**

In consideration of Respondent's size of business, its full compliance history, its good faith effort to comply, and other factors as justice may require, and upon consideration of the

In the Matter of Frenchman Valley Cooperative Docket No. CAA-07-2016-0015 Page 2 of 6

entire record, the parties enter into the ESA in order to settle the violations, described in the enclosed RMP Findings, for the total penalty amount of \$4,500.

This settlement is subject to the following terms and conditions:

The Respondent by signing below waives any objections that it may have regarding jurisdiction, neither admits nor denies the specific factual allegations contained herein and in the RMP Findings, and consents to the assessment of the penalty as stated above. Respondent waives its rights to a hearing afforded by Section 113(d)(2)(A) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(d)(2)(A), and to appeal this ESA. Each party to this action shall bear its own costs and fees, if any. Respondent also certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false submission to the United States Government, that the Respondent has corrected the violations listed in the enclosed RMP Findings and has sent a cashier's check or certified check (payable to the "United States Treasury") in the amount of **\$4,500** in payment of the full penalty amount to the following address:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Fines and Penalties Cincinnati Finance Center P.O. Box 979077 St. Louis, Missouri 63197-9000

The Docket Number of this ESA is CAA-07-2016-0015, and must be included on the check.

This original ESA, a copy of the completed RMP Findings, and <u>a copy of the check must</u> be sent by certified mail to:

Christine Hoard Chemical Risk Information Branch U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7 11201 Renner Boulevard Lenexa, Kansas 66219.

A copy of the check must also be sent to:

Kathy M. Robinson Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7 11201 Renner Boulevard Lenexa, Kansas 66219.

Upon Respondent's submission of the signed original ESA, the EPA will take no further civil action against Respondent for the alleged violations of the CAA referenced in the RMP

In the Matter of Frenchman Valley Cooperative Docket No. CAA-07-2016-0015 Page 3 of 6

Findings. The EPA does not waive any other enforcement action for any other violations of the CAA or any other statute.

If the signed original ESA <u>with an attached copy of the check</u> is not returned to the <u>EPA</u> <u>Region 7 office</u> at the above address in correct form by the Respondent within 45 days of the date of Respondent's receipt of it (90 days if an extension is granted), the proposed ESA is withdrawn, without prejudice to EPA's ability to file an enforcement action for the violations identified herein and in the RMP Findings.

This ESA is binding on the parties signing below.

This ESA is effective upon filing with the Regional Hearing Clerk.

In the Matter of Frenchman Valley Cooperative Docket No. CAA-07-2016-0015 Page 4 of 6

FOR RESPONDENT:

Ried Myly

Name (print): RICHARD MURPHT

Title (print):

SAFETY DIRECTOR Frenchman Valley Cooperative is also intervent i organizati Vallar confemiles, Incest Stericas, in-2007. Appendit incest Stericas, in-2007.

4/28/16 Date:

In the Matter of Frenchman Valley Cooperative Docket No. CAA-07-2016-0015 Page 5 of 6

FOR COMPLAINANT:

Becky Weber Director Air and Waste Management Division EPA Region 7

Week in ( P Erin Weekley

Assistant Regional Counsel Office of Regional Counsel EPA Region 7

ledge strike er er ednock Valey Coopenius; or en ≦n CA e-desAlth-sil; fangh

ESIG Date:

Date: 5516

In the Matter of Frenchman Valley Cooperative Docket No. CAA-07-2016-0015 Page 6 of 6

I hereby ratify the ESA and incorporate it herein by reference. It is so ORDERED.

mones

Karina Borromeo Regional Judicial Officer

Date: 5-10-16

# Risk Management Program Inspection Findings CAA § 112(r) Violations

Frenchman Valley Coop 100 Douglas Street Imperial, Nebraska 69033 Docket No. CAA-07-2016-0015

## COMPLETE THIS FORM AND RETURN IT WITH THE ESA.

## **VIOLATIONS**

#### PENALTY AMOUNT

Risk Management Plan Registration [68.160(b)(7)] No penalty assessed The owner or operator failed to accurately report for each covered process, the maximum quantity of each regulated substance or mixture in the process. How was this addressed: <u>we NO LONGER RECIVE ANOTONIS ANDROWA</u> By <u>Railcar: RE-CALCLASED INJERTORY WHEN 13 NON 1, 200, 000 POURD</u> 3/30/16. MADE CORRECTION ON RMP SUBMIT ON 4/19/16.

Emergency Response Program [68.180] The owner or operator failed to submit an RMP that correctly included the information required regarding the emergency response program. (Section 9) How was this addressed: <u>NE DET MOT HAVE AN EMERGECT RESPONSE PLAN</u>. WE HAVE AN EMERGENCY AUTION PLAN, CORRECTION MADE TO RAPPSUBMIT ON HIB/16

Hazard Assessment

Defining offsite impacts – Population [68.30(a)] No penalty assessed The owner or operator failed to estimate in the RMP the population within a circle with its center at the point of the release and a radius determined by the distance to the endpoint defined in  $\S68.22(a)$ .

How was this ad							
CORRACTED	OVR	WORGT	GAZE +	ALTAN	WATE	SCENIONSI	3/28/16.
CORAECTIO	1 70	RMPTI	BMIT	ON 41	19/16.		,

How was this addressed: REVIEWED & REVISE ON 3/28/16, CORRECTION TO RMP 500	MATON 4/13/16.
Automatical Contract	
	an Lingun ().
and he mater	n schutzbill bein son eine Bieren
Prevention Program	¢1.500
Safety Information [68.48(b)]	\$1,500
The owner or operator failed to ensure the process is and generally accepted and good engineering practic	
How was this addressed. Character A HAZAAA	REVIEW CULDES ADVIDENT TO
ENSURE WE MEET 68.48(10) REDULATIONS	ON 21,5/16. THE 5 VESSELS THA
How was this addressed: CREATED A HAZAND ENSURE WE MEET 68,48(6) REDULATIONS NOT MEET THE Y3 CLACUMFERMONE FORS	PODLES. WE HAD MAN ENGINER V
THE SPEC'S TO MEET CODE A CONTRACTOR	OR INSTALLED THE SADDLE
EFTENSIONS, PRUSELT WAS COMPLESED	ON 4/28/16
	in the shift be proved as a sign matter.
Prevention Program	
Hazard Review [68.50(b)]	\$600
The owner or operator shall determine by inspecting	
fabricated, and operated in accordance with applicat	
industry standards or Federal or state design rules, a	
How was this addressed: REVIEWED AND REVISE	A HAZAND KEVEN GUIDELINIS
AN ANDIAN RENTEMENT KEVISEN LATA	D NEVIEN CHECKLIST ON 2/15/10
A LLE A DELAL D	1 6/1
ON 2/15/16, RENERATED & REVISED HAZAN CREATED A HAZATO REVIEN DOLUMELSATA	ad SIGN 644 SHEETON 2/5/16.
CREATED A HAZARD REVIEN POLUMELSATA	gd 516N 6FF 5HEETON 2/15/16.
CREATED A HAZATAD REVIEN DOLUMELSATA	g) SIGN 6FF SHEETON 2/5/16.
	gd 516N 6FF 5HEETON 2/5/16.
Prevention Program	
Prevention Program Compliance Audits [68.58 (a)]	\$1,200
Prevention Program Compliance Audits [68.58 (a)] The owner or operator failed to certify it has evaluat	\$1,200 ted compliance with the provisions
Prevention Program Compliance Audits [68.58 (a)] The owner or operator failed to certify it has evaluat of this subpart at least every three years to verify that	\$1,200 ted compliance with the provisions at the procedures and practices
Prevention Program Compliance Audits [68.58 (a)] The owner or operator failed to certify it has evaluat of this subpart at least every three years to verify tha developed under the rule are adequate and are being	\$1,200 ted compliance with the provisions at the procedures and practices to followed.
Prevention Program Compliance Audits [68.58 (a)] The owner or operator failed to certify it has evaluat of this subpart at least every three years to verify tha developed under the rule are adequate and are being How was this addressed: CONPACTOR A CompL	\$1,200 ted compliance with the provisions at the procedures and practices to followed. AMA AUOTON 3/30/16,
Prevention Program Compliance Audits [68.58 (a)] The owner or operator failed to certify it has evaluat of this subpart at least every three years to verify tha developed under the rule are adequate and are being	\$1,200 ted compliance with the provisions at the procedures and practices to followed. AMA AUOTON 3/30/16,
Prevention Program Compliance Audits [68.58 (a)] The owner or operator failed to certify it has evaluat of this subpart at least every three years to verify tha developed under the rule are adequate and are being How was this addressed: CONPACT A CompL	\$1,200 ted compliance with the provisions at the procedures and practices to followed. $A_{MM}$ Alor or 3/30/16.
Prevention Program Compliance Audits [68.58 (a)] The owner or operator failed to certify it has evaluat of this subpart at least every three years to verify tha developed under the rule are adequate and are being How was this addressed: CONPACTOR A CompL	\$1,200 ted compliance with the provisions at the procedures and practices to followed. $A_{MM}$ Aug. or 3]30]16.
Prevention Program Compliance Audits [68.58 (a)] The owner or operator failed to certify it has evaluat of this subpart at least every three years to verify tha developed under the rule are adequate and are being How was this addressed: CONPACT A CompL	\$1,200 ted compliance with the provisions at the procedures and practices to followed. AMA AUOTON 3/30/16,
Prevention Program Compliance Audits [68.58 (a)] The owner or operator failed to certify it has evaluat of this subpart at least every three years to verify tha developed under the rule are adequate and are being How was this addressed: CONPACT A CompL	\$1,200 ted compliance with the provisions at the procedures and practices to followed. AMA AUOTON 3/30/16,

## Calculation of Adjusted Penalty

 $1^{st}$  Reference the Multipliers for calculating proposed penalties for violations found during RMP inspection matrix. Finding the column for 10-100 employees and the row for >10 times the threshold quantity of 10,000 pounds of anhydrous ammonia as listed in 40 C.F.R. Part 68.130 for the amount in a process gives a multiplier factor of 1.0. Therefore, the multiplier for Frenchman Valley Coop = 1.0

\*\*No adjusted penalty since multiplier is 1

# **Total Penalty**

\$4,500

This section must be also completed and signed by Frenchman Valley Coop:

The approximate cost to correct the above items: \$	19,000
Compliance staff name: RICHARD MURP	<del>}+</del> Y
Signed: Ruld Mmph	Date: 4/28/16

#### Sel and a select select

1. L

\* Sales Action 10

A set of the set of

IN THE MATTER Of Frenchman Valley Cooperative, Respondent Docket No. CAA-07-2016-0015

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Order was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees:

Copy emailed to Complainant:

hoard.christine@epa.gov

Copy by First Class Mail to Respondent:

Richard Murphy Safety Director 100 Douglas Street Imperial, Nebraska 69033

Dated:

ainen

Kathy Robinson Hearing Clerk, Region 7

וא היהם היא לה המיכור לאור המוניל, היה היוצא אולי היה אלי אורי אלי היה אוריים. האירי אם היא לה המיכור לאור האינה לי

#### CLARKING CONTRACTOR (Rep.)

and a special state of the second

÷

the state where a second state

·)))).